

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 5009 Jerry W. Noles, Jr. 09/724,070 11/28/2000 **EXAMINER** 7590 09/22/2004 KOPEC, MARK T Anthony F. Matheny Andrews & Kurth L.L.P. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 600 Travis, Suite 4200 1751 Houston, TX 77002

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/724,070	NOLES, JR., JERRY W.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Mark Kopec	1751
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION SIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of SIGNS (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will exply received by the Office later than three months after deapter than three months after deapter than three months after deapter than the property of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON. by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>07 July 2004</u> .	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for	r allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition	on of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the app	olication.	
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-20</u> is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
•	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.	
Application	on Papers		
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.	
,	The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 November 2</u>		objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ne correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in A	Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	of the Control of the	n received in this National Stage
	- ·	•	····
	application from the International	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	

Attachment(s)

	· ·
1) 🖂	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) 🔲	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) 🛚	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

4) [Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) [Notice of Informal Patent Application

ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 5) Notice of Inform (6) Other: _____.

Art Unit: 1751

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-11) in the reply filed on 7/7/04 is acknowledged.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1751

Claims 1-4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lowther (5,298,281).

Lowther (5,298,281) discloses method for treating tubulars wherein a plurality of ablating, gelatin pigs are sequentially passed through the tubular to deposit a relatively thin film or protective layer of gelatin onto the wall of the tubular. All of the plurality of pigs are inserted into the tubular at a single insertion point but each pig substantially treats only its respective portion or length of the tubular. That is, a first pig deposits a gelatin layer on the wall of a first portion or length of the tubular, a second pig deposits a layer on a second portion or length of the tubular, and so forth (Abstract). All of the plurality of pigs are inserted into the tubular at a single insertion point but each pig substantially treats only its respective portion or length of the tubular. That is, a first pig deposits a gelatin layer on the wall of a first portion or length of the tubular, a second pig deposits a layer on a second portion or length of the tubular, and so forth. used herein, "tubular" is intended to include any pipe or conduit through which fluids (i.e. liquids and gases) and solids (i.e. particulates) are flowed (Col 4, lines 20-32). It should be recognized that the actual compositions can vary if a

Art Unit: 1751

situation dictates; (e.g. one pig can have more or less treating fluid, magnetic particles, gelatin content, etc. than the others pigs). Flow in pipe 10 is resumed which will cause pig 30 to pass through first portion 10a of pipe 10 and on into and through second portion 10b. The layer 20a of gelatin which has been deposited from pig 20 provides, in itself, a good lubricating film throughout first portion 10a which, in turn, substantially reduces the friction between pig 30 and the wall of portion 10a to a level where there will be little, if any, ablation of pig 30 as it passes through first portion 10a (Col 7, lines 35-45). The disclosure of different compositions (for each pig) meets each of applicant's requirements regarding "first reservoir having a first liquid liner component" and "second reservoir having a second liquid liner component".

The reference is anticipatory.

In the event that any minor modifications are necessary to meet the claimed limitations, such as selection of a particular pump or nozzle configuration, such modifications are well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Claims 5-8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lowther (5,298,281).

Lowther (5,298,281) is relied upon as set forth above. The reference differs from the above listed instant claims in

Art Unit: 1751

failing to specifically disclose the particular power source, control line/transmission line configuration.

It is the examiner's position that the selection of power source(s) or control line/transmission line configuration are well-known design choices which would have been obvious to the skilled artisan.

Claims 1-4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over EP 145,266.

EP 145,266 discloses apparatus for spray lining pipes. The mixer arrangement for the coating material includes a preliminary static mixer ort mixer manifold in sledge 3 to which the two parts of the polyurethane resin composition are individually supplied via respective remotely operable valves. The valves may be pneumatically operated, electromagnetically controlled valves, mounted on the sledge or manifold (page 4, lines 16-25). The sledge 4 carries a final mixer arrangement, connected to a sprayer arrangement terminating, at the rear of the sledge, in a rotary spray head or spinner (page 3, lines 19-30). It appears the disclosed sledge 4/spray head arrangement meets each of the instant requirements regarding "applicator in communication...transmission line" (see instant specification, page 11, lines 10-18).

Art Unit: 1751

The reference is anticipatory.

In the event that any minor modifications are necessary to meet the claimed limitations, such as selection of a particular pump or nozzle configuration, such modifications are well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Claims 5-9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 145,266.

EP 145,266 is relied upon as set forth above. The reference differs from the above listed instant claims in failing to specifically disclose the particular power source, control line/transmission line configuration.

It is the examiner's position that the selection of power source(s) or control line/transmission line configuration are well-known design choices which would have been obvious to the skilled artisan.

Claims 1-4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hughes et al (5,092,265).

Hughes discloses Apparatus for applying a two component coating material to a surface defining a cavity. The apparatus includes injection means for injecting coating material into the cavity and means for generating a turbulent region into which region the coating material is injected and for dispersing the

Art Unit: 1751

coating material radially outward toward the surface. present invention also employs a novel method for applying a coating to the surface of a symmetrical cavity comprising the steps of advancing a coating apparatus mounted at the end of an elongated support member into the cavity to be coated and applying the coating to the interior surface of the cavity (Abstract). the present invention employs a pneumatically driven turbine impeller that both mixes two components of the coating material, the resin base and the curing catalyst, and distributes the coating material in a uniform manner upon the surface to be coated. The primary method of mixing is achieved by the turbulent action produced by the vanes of the impeller. Additionally, these vanes are the source of directional distribution of the coating material to the vertical surface (Col 3, lines 5-10). The liquid resin base is pumped by an independent pump, not shown in FIG. 1, through resin base line 72 to a resin base distribution manifold 74. Resin base distribution manifold 74 is mounted to supporting member 12 by support bracket 75, which is bolted to supporting member 12. The resin base distribution manifold 74 splits and conveys the resin base in approximately equal portions through three resin base tubes 76. The number of resin base tubes may vary depending upon the character and amount of resin base to be dispensed. The

Art Unit: 1751

three resin base tubes 76 inject the resin base into a region 78 proximate to impeller 60. The chemical nature of the resin base is determined by the use desired and is unimportant to the invention. Typical resin bases include, however, polyesters and other resin bases (Col 4, lines 58-68). It appears the disclosed impeller (60, 78) arrangement meets each of the instant requirements regarding "applicator in communication...transmission line" (see instant specification, page 11, lines 10-18).

The reference is anticipatory.

In the event that any minor modifications are necessary to meet the claimed limitations, such as selection of a particular pump or nozzle configuration, such modifications are well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Claims 5-9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hughes et al.

Hughes et al is relied upon as set forth above. The reference differs from the above listed instant claims in failing to specifically disclose the particular power source, control line/transmission line configuration.

It is the examiner's position that the selection of power source(s) or control line/transmission line configuration are

Art Unit: 1751

well-known design choices which would have been obvious to the skilled artisan.

In view of the foregoing, the above claims have failed to patentably distinguish over the applied art.

The remaining references listed on forms 892 and 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Kopec whose telephone number is (571) 272-1319. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Kopec

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

ΜK

September 21, 2004